

## **Archives of Women's Health & Gynecology**

**Research Article** 

### Impact of Obesity on Semen Parameters and Sperm DNA Integrity in Infertile Males

#### Waleed M. Tawfik<sup>1\*</sup>, Mohamed Elhelaly<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Benha University. Benha, Egypt <sup>2</sup>MSc. Of clinical Embryology, IVF lab director, Royal fertility center, Mansoura, Egypt.

**\*Corresponding author**: Dr. Waleed M. Tawfik, Lecturer in Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Benha University. Benha, Egypt.

**Citation:** Tawfik WM and Elhelaly M (2019) Impact of Obesity on Semen Parameters and Sperm DNA Integrity in Infertile Males. Arch Women Heal Gyn: 116.

Received Date: 05 November, 2019; Accepted Date: 11 November, 2019; Published Date: 18 November, 2019

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** The interaction between obesity and fertility has received increased attention owing to the rapid increase in the prevalence of the obesity worldwide. Several studies were done to explain the relationship between obesity and poor semen quality and poor male fertility results has been inconsistent and inconclusive.

Aim of the Work is to highlight the effect of obesity on semen parameters and DNA integrity of infertile males. This study was conducted on 88 infertile patients selected from attendants of the Hawa IVF center, Benha, Egypt, in the period from April 2017 to August 2018. divided to 3 groups according to their body mass index (BMI): Group A includes normal weight patients (BM18-24.9 kg/m 2, N 13).Group B includes overweight patients (BMI 25-29.9 kg/m 2, N=31).Group C includes obese patients (BMI  $\ge$  30 kg/m 2, N = 44).Medical history and general examination were done to exclude patients with male factors known to affect fertility. BMI was calculated and semen analysis and sperm DNA fragmentation index (DFI) were estimated for all patients.

**Results:** According to BMI there was significant decrease between groups (B and C) regarding the percent of sperms progressive motility (P-value=0.045) which was negatively correlated with BMI (r=-0.216, p-value=0.043). Significant increase DFI was found in group B (overweight) and group C (obese) compared to group A (normal) and a positively correlated with BMI (r=0.225, p=0.035). **Conclusion:** Obesity is associated with low progressively motile sperms and increased percentage of sperm DNA fragmentation index (DFI).

**Keywords:** obesity, semen parameters, sperm DNA integrity, infertile males

#### Introduction

Obesity, defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as a body mass index (BMI)  $30 \ge kg/m^2$ , is a medical condition of excess body fat that negatively influencing morbidity and mortality via non-communicable disease risks [1,2].

Infertility is defined by the World Health Organization (2010) as 'the inability of a couple to achieve conception or bring a pregnancy to term after 12 months or more of regular unprotected sexual intercourse [3].

Alongside an increased incidence of obesity, infertility is a growing concern affecting up to 15% of couples trying to conceive globally, with approximately 25 % of cases attributed to the male partner [4].

In males, the effect of obesity on the hormone regulation of spermatogenesis is underpinned by the hypothesis that the hypothalamic pituitary gonadal (HPG) axis is deregulated by obesity. Several studies documents that increased male body mass index (BMI) is associated with decreased plasma concentrations of sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG) testosterone, and a concomitant increased plasma concentration of estrogen [5,6,7,8]. There is a clear consensus in literature that male obesity is associated with higher levels of sperm DNA damage, despite the use of various methodologies to measure sperm DNA integrity (i.e., TUNEL, COMET and SCSA) [9-15].

The effect of BMI on sperm characteristics remains controversial; various studies have shown obesity to be associated with a reduction in sperm count, concentration, motility, vitality, morphology, and /or DNA integrity [16,17]. In contrast, other researchers have not found similar relationships [5,18]. This is highlighted by two meta -analytical reviews that had numerous opposing conclusions [19,20].

#### **Aim of Work**

The aim of this work is to highlight the effect of obesity on semen parameters and DNA integrity of infertile males.

#### **Patients and Methods**

The study was conducted at the Andrology outpatients' clinic, Hawa IVF center, Benha, Egypt, in the period from April 2017 to August 2018, included 88 male patients complaining of infertility, divided to 3 groups according to their BMI:

- **Group A** includes normal patients (BMI18-24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, N =13).
- Group B includes overweight patients (BMI 25-29.9 kg/ m<sup>2</sup>, N=31).
- Group C includes obese patients (BMI ≥30 kg/ m<sup>2</sup>, N =44).

#### **Exclusion criteria**

Patients with known reproductive tract pathology (e.g. genital tract infections, prostatitis, varicocele, etc.), Patients with azoospermia, Patient on hormonal therapy (e.g. testosterone, insulin, thyroid replacement) or If they were hospitalized or had any surgery in the last six months, Patients with any chronic disease (specifically obesity related pathology such as Cushing's syndrome, hypothyroidism and type two diabetes mellitus (T2DM|)), Those on medications associated with increased obesity risk (e.g. antidepressant medications, cortisone, metformin, etc.) or with history of smoking or recreational drug use in the last six months and patients with diagnosed female factor infertility.

#### **Ethical consideration**

- The steps and the aim of the research were explained to participants before they were involved.
- The participants were not exposed to any hazardous interventions in the research.
- The participants were included on their full well.
- A written consent was taken from all participants before they were involved.

#### **Methods**

Detailed medical history and examination were done to all patients to exclude those with any excluded criteria.

#### **Calculation of BMI**

Men height (cm) and weight (kg) were reported. Then BMI was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared (BMI = weight/ height <sup>2</sup>) [21].

Participants included in data analysis were divided into three groups based on the WHO definitions of obesity [1,2].

#### Investigations

#### Semen analysis

Semen analysis was done after a sexual abstinence for at least 3 days. Semen was obtained by masturbation into a sterile plastic container. Participants were instructed to collect all semen and report any semen loss. Semen analysis was carried out according to the criteria mentioned in the World Health Organization laboratory manual [22].

#### Assessment of DNA integrity

#### By Acridine Orange (AO) Assay

The AO assay measures the ability of sperm nuclear DNA to denature by acid which forms metachromatic shift of AO fluorescence from green (native DNA) to red (denatured DNA). The fluorochrome AO intercalates in double-stranded DNA as a monomer which binds to single-stranded DNA. The monomeric AO bound to native DNA fluoresce green, whereas the aggregated AO on denatured DNA fluoresces red [23].

#### Statistical analysis

Date entry and data analysis were done using SPSS version 19 (Statistical Package for Social Science). Data were presented as number, percentage, mean, median and standard deviation. Mann-Whitney test was used to compare quantitative variables between groups in case of non-parametric data. Spearman correlation was done to measure correlation between quantitative variables. Pvalue considered statistically significant when P < 0.05.

#### Results

#### **BMI of included patients**

The mean body mass index (BMI) of the cohort was  $28.93 \pm 4.23$  (Range=19.96 - 42.52), 14.8% of patients were normal weight (BMI 18-24.9), 35.2% were overweight (BMI 25 - 29.9) with a mean  $28.93 \pm 4.23$  (Range=19.96 - 42.52), 50% of participants were obese (BMI  $\geq$ 30 kg/ m 2), (table1).

|                                     | No. (n= 88)           | %        |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Body mass index (BMI):              |                       |          |
| Normal weight<br>(18–24.9).         | 13                    | 14.8     |
| Overweight<br>(BMI 25 – 29.9).      | 31                    | 35.2     |
| Obese<br>BMI ≥30 kg/ m <sup>2</sup> | 44                    | 50       |
| Mean ± SD (Range)                   | 28.93 ± 4.23 (19.96 - | - 42.52) |

 Table 1: BMI of included patients.

# Relation between obesity and semen parameters according to body mass index (BMI)

According to BMI, patients were divided to three groups, 13 patients were normal weight (BMI 18–24.9), 31 patients were Overweight (BMI 25 – 29.9) and 44 patients were

obese (BMI  $\geq$ 30). The three groups were compared to each other as regard semen parameters and DNA integrity.

There was only significant decrease of sperm motility grade A (Rapid progressive motility) and the total progressive motility (grade A+B) in obese group than overweight group.

**Citation:** Tawfik WM and Elhelaly M (2019) Impact of Obesity on Semen Parameters and Sperm DNA Integrity in Infertile Males. Arch Women Heal Gyn: 116.

There were no significant differences (P value >0.05) neither between normal and neither overweight groups nor does normal and obese group (table 2).

As regard sperm DNA fragmentation index (DFI), there were significant increase of sperm DFI in overweight and obese groups (p-value= 0.040 and 0.010 respectively) than normal weight group, (table 2).

| Semen parameters  | Normal weight<br>(n= 13) | Overweight<br>(n= 31) | <b>Obese</b><br>(n= 44) | P-value <sup>1</sup> | P-value <sup>2</sup> | P-value <sup>3</sup> |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Liquefaction Time: (min)  | ()                       | ()                    | ( )                     |                      |                      |                      |
| Mean ± SD   | 30.39 ± 6.60             | 32.42 ± 8.15          | 33.52 ± 11.74           | 0.707                | 0.646                | 0.951                |
| Median (Range)  | 30 (20-40)               | 30 (20-50)            | 30 (20.0-60.0)          |                      |                      |                      |
| Volume: (ml)  |                          |                       |                         |                      |                      |                      |
| Mean ± SD   | 2.55 ± 1.16              | 3.04 ± 1.46           | 3.07 ± 1.40             | 0.319                | 0.184                | 0.931                |
| Median (Range)  | 2.5 (1.2-5.2)            | 2.5 (1-6.5)           | 2.5 (1.2-7.0)           |                      |                      |                      |
| Sperm Rapid progressive   |                          |                       |                         | 0.863                | 0.213                | 0.016*               |
| motility (grade A)  |                          |                       |                         |                      |                      |                      |
| Mean ± SD   | 10.39 ± 9.89             | 9.84 ± 7.47           | $6.36 \pm 8.72$         |                      |                      |                      |
| Median (Range)  | 10 (0-25)                | 10 (0-25)             | 5 (0.0-40.0)            |                      |                      |                      |
| Sperm motility B:   |                          | <u> </u>              |                         |                      |                      |                      |
| (slow progressive motility)                                       |                          |                       |                         |                      | 0.684                | 0.764                |
| Mean ± SD   | 31.92 ± 10.52            | 32.90 ± 10.86         | 32.05 ± 9.04            | 0.865                |                      |                      |
| Median (Range)  | 35 (10-40)               | 30 (10-55)            | 32 (5.0-50.0)           |                      |                      |                      |
| Sperm progressive motility  |                          |                       |                         |                      |                      |                      |
| (A+B):  |                          |                       |                         |                      | 0.151                | 0.045*               |
|   |                          |                       |                         | 0.637                |                      |                      |
| Mean ± SD   | 42.31 ± 17.03            | 42.74 ± 11.61         | 38.41 ± 12.70           |                      |                      |                      |
| Median (Range)  | 50 (10-65)               | 45 (10-60)            | 37.5 (5.0-70.0)         |                      |                      |                      |
| Sperm motility C:   |                          |                       |                         |                      | 0.309                | 0.256                |
| (non-progressive motility)  |                          |                       |                         | 0.723                |                      |                      |
| Mean ± SD   | 15.00 ± 6.12             | $14.84 \pm 3.98$      | 16.84 ± 6.82            |                      |                      |                      |
| Median (Range)  | 15 (10-30)               | 15 (10-20)            | 15 (10.0 - 45.0)        |                      |                      |                      |
| Sperm motility D  |                          |                       |                         |                      |                      |                      |
| (non-motile sperm)  |                          |                       |                         | 0.658                | 0.352                | 0.268                |
| Mean ± SD   | 42.69 ± 17.98            | 42.42 ± 12.24         | 44.77 ± 15.21           |                      |                      |                      |
| Median (Range)  | 40 (20-75)               | 40 (25-80)            | 45 (10.0 - 85.0)        |                      |                      |                      |
| Sperm concentration   |                          |                       |                         |                      | 0.536                | 0.352                |
| (million/ml):   |                          |                       |                         | 0.348                |                      |                      |
| Mean ± SD   | 43.78 ± 33.68            | 33.68 ± 32.88         | 37.58 ± 30.83           |                      |                      |                      |
| Median (Range)  | 38 (1.1-98)              | 21 (3-120)            | 28 (1.4 - 128.0)        |                      |                      |                      |
| Total sperm count:  |                          |                       |                         | 0.487                | 0.932                | 0.294                |
| Mean ± SD   | 117.28 ± 115.13          | 95.57 ± 103.54        | 111.85 ± 97.21          |                      |                      |                      |
| Median (Range)  | 100 (1.3-426)            | 69 (11-472)           | 80.2 (2.8-400.0)        |                      |                      |                      |
| Abnormal forms:   |                          |                       |                         | 0.060                | 0.051                | 0.892                |
| Mean ± SD   | 52.31 ± 12.52            | 60.65 ± 14.30         | 60.14 ± 12.38           |                      |                      |                      |
| Median (Range)  | 50 (30-80)               | 60 (30-90)            | 60 (35.0-90.0)          |                      |                      |                      |
| DFI   |                          |                       |                         |                      |                      | 0.684                |
| Mean ± SD   | 45.00 ± 9.35             | 52.45 ± 12.50         | 53.18 ± 10.57           | 0.040*               | 0.010*               |                      |
| Median (Range)  | 45 (35-70)               | 50 (30-76)            | 50 (35.0 - 75.0)        |                      |                      |                      |
| P-value1: Comparison between N<br>P. value2: Comparison between N | Normal and Overwe        | eight.                |                         |                      |                      |                      |
| P-value <sup>2</sup> . Comparison between C                       | Jverweight and Obe       | 920                   |                         |                      |                      |                      |
| * $P_{value} < 0.005$   |                          |                       |                         |                      |                      |                      |

Table 2: Comparison of patients' semen analysis according to categories of BMI in patients.

#### **Correlations between BMI and semen parameters**

found between body mass index and **s**perm DNA fragmentation index (DFI) with p-value=0.035, (table 3).

Significant negative correlation was found between body mass index and sperm progressive motility (grad A+B) with p-value=0.043. Also, Significant positive correlations were

| Semen parameters, insulin and glucose in | BMI     |         |  |
|--|---------|---------|--|
| serum and semen                          | r-value | P-value |  |
| Liqufaction Time (min)                   | 0.000   | 0.999   |  |
| Volume (ml)                              | 0.032   | 0.769   |  |
| Sperm motility A+B                       | -0.216  | 0.043*  |  |
| Sperm concentration (million/ml)         | -0.033  | 0.761   |  |
| Sperm count                              | -0.002  | 0.982   |  |
| Abnormal forms                           | 0.164   | 0.128   |  |
| DFI                                      | 0.225   | 0.035*  |  |

Table 3: Correlations between BMI and semen parameters

#### Discussion

Obesity and male factor infertility have coincidently been increasing globally. Obesity is associated with various metabolic changes, chronic inflammation and males, hypogonadism [26,25].

Results of the present study found significant decrease of sperm progressive motility in obese patients compared to overweight (p-value=0.045), and negative significant correlation between sperm progressive motility and body mass index (BMI).

In agree with these results, Hofny et al., (2010) found a significant negative correlation between sperm motility and BMI (7). Hammoud et al., (2008) also observed a significant increase in the prevalence of low progressively motile spermatozoa with increased BMI [26]. Other studies also reported a negative relationship between obesity and sperm motility [8,12, 13].

In contrast with the results in the present study Jensen et al., (2004), reported a higher prevalence of oligozoospermia in overweight and obese men compared with normal-weight men. However, they did not find any relationship between increasing male BMI and percentage of motile sperm. The study was carried out on 1558 young males' military recruits from Denmark [16]. These differences might be due to that the populations included in their study which were general young age even not complaining from infertility, while the populations of this study were all complaining from male factor infertility, most of them have bad semen analysis and their age were between 20 to 48 years.

Results of the present study found no significant difference of sperm count and concentration with increasing BMI. Similar results in accordance with our result were reported [5,10,25,27,28,29]. Chavarro et al. (2010) did not observe statistically significant differences in sperm morphology, sperm motility or sperm concentration in relation with levels of BMI [10]. Also, Duits et al. (2010), found no association between BMI and sperm motility & sperm count [18].

In contrast other studies found a decrease of sperm count and concentration with increasing BMI [11,16,30]. Tunc et al., (2011) found that, increased BMI was significantly linked with a fall in sperm concentration [11]. Sermondade et al. (2013), on meta-analysis study showed that overweight and obese men had a significantly elevated risk of abnormal sperm count compared with normal weight men [20].

Hofny et al., (2010), also reported a significant positive correlation between BMI and abnormal sperm morphology as well as significant negative correlation with sperm concentration, sperm motility [7]. This in agreement with our results as regard negative correlation between BMI and sperm motility but disagree with us as regard sperm concentration and morphology. These differences might be due to that the population included in their study which were only obese fertile and infertile while all our population were infertile with different BMI.

The present study found significant increase of sperm DNA fragmentation index (DFI) in obese and overweight (p-value= 0.040 and0.010 respectively) compared to normal patients, also significant positive correlation between body mass index and DFI.

Several studies have examined associations between body weight and Sperm DNA integrity. Most of these studies indicate a negative impact of sperm DNA integrity with increasing weight [8,9,10,12,27]. Kort et al. (2006), suggests that both overweight and obesity are associated with a higher DFI [9].

In contrast Eisenberg et al. (2014) published a report on 501 couples attempting to conceive and did not indicate any significant relationship between BMI and DFI [15]. In agreement with this, Hakonsen et al. (2012) did not find any significant improvement in DFI upon weight loss in a cohort of 43 obese men [31]. The results are contradictory, perhaps due to differences in populations this were complaining of couple infertility not male factor only infertility and in the methods of assessing DFI.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are one of the main contributors for impairing sperm DNA structure which is commonly elevated in subfertile men [32]. Obesity may be associated with significant perturbations to oxidative state and DNA integrity of sperm, and have repercussions not only on sperm function, but on the resultant embryo [33].

The current study found no significant difference of sperm abnormal forms with increasing BMI. Most of the studies agree with this result and have shown no correlation between obesity and abnormal sperm morphology [12,14,27,29], while some studies found that obesity is associated with increased sperm abnormal forms [7,9,16, 17,13]. **Citation:** Tawfik WM and Elhelaly M (2019) Impact of Obesity on Semen Parameters and Sperm DNA Integrity in Infertile Males. Arch Women Heal Gyn: 116.

The discrepancies observed in the literature likely result from several limitations that are inherent in human studies. First, these studies can be confounded by lifestyle factors such as smoking, alcohol consumption and recreational drug use as well as co-factors such as metabolic syndrome, all which can impair sperm function. Second, as most studies originate from fertility clinics where patient cohorts are frequently biased toward subfertile men, this may also confound findings. Thirdly, some studies rely on selfreporting of parameters, such as lifestyle factors and BMI, which can lead to inaccurate reporting.

#### Conclusion

- The results of this study found that male obesity may negatively impact reproductive function and fertility:
- Obesity is associated with low progressively motile sperms.
- Obesity is associated with increased percentage of sperm DNA fragmentation index (DFI).

#### **Recommendations**

• Further studies should be performed to define the extent of the relationship between obesity and altered sperm parameters and mechanisms responsible for alteration of sperm parameters.

#### Reference

- 1. World Health Organization. (2000): Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Geneva: WHO Technical Report Series number 894.
- 2. Haslam DW and James WP. (2005): obesity. Lancet, vol.366 (9492):1197-1209.
- 3. World Health Organization. (2010): WHO Laboratory Manual for the Examination and Processing of Human Semen Department of Reproductive Health and Research.5<sup>th</sup> edition.
- 4. Kefer JC, Agarwal A and Sabanegh E., (2009): Role of antioxidants in the treatment of male infertility. Int J Urol, 16:449–457.
- Aggerholm AS, Thulstrup AM, Toft G, Thulstrup AM, Ramlau-Hansen CH and Bonde .(2008): Is overweight a risk factor for reduced semen quality and altered serum sex hormone profile? Fertil Steril; 90: 619–626.
- 6. Hinz S, Rais-Bahrami S, Kempkensteffen C, Weiske WH and Miller K. (2010): Effect of obesity on sex hormone levels, antisperm antibodies, and fertility after vasectomy reversal. Urology; 76: 851–856.
- 7. Hofny ER, Ali ME, Abdel-Hafez HZ, Kamal Eel-D and Mohammed EE. (2010): Semen parameters and hormonal profile in obese fertile and infertile males. Fertil Steril; 94: 581–584.
- La Vignera S, Condorelli RA, Vicari E and Calogero AE. (2012): Negative effect of increased body weight on sperm conventional and nonconventional flow cytometric sperm parameters. J Androl; 33: 53–58.
- 9. Kort HI, Massey JB, Elsner CW,Mitchell-Leef D and Shapiro DB. (2006): Impact of body mass index values on sperm quantity and quality. J Androl.; 27: 450–452.
- Chavarro JE, Toth TL, Wright DL, Meeker JD and Hauser R. (2010): Body mass index in relation to semen quality, sperm DNA integrity, and serum reproductive

hormone levels among men attending an infertility clinic. Fertil Steril; 93: 2222–2231.

- 11. Tunc O, Bakos HW and Tremellen K. (2011): Impact of body mass index on seminal oxidative stress. Andrologia; 43: 121–128.
- 12. Fariello RM, Pariz JR, Spaine DM, Cedenho AP and Bertolla. (2012): Association between obesity and alteration of sperm DNA integrity and mitochondrial activity. BJU Int; 110: 863–867.
- 13. Thomas S, Kratzsch D, Schaab M, Scholz M and Grunewald S. (2013): Seminal plasma adipokine levels are correlated with functional characteristics of spermatozoa. Fertil Steril; 99: 1256–1263.
- 14. Thomsen L, Humaidan P, Bungum L and Bungum M. (2014): The impact of male overweight on semen quality and outcome of assisted reproduction. Asian J Androl; 16: 749–754.
- 15. Eisenberg ML, Kim S, Chen Z, Sundaram R and Schisterman. (2014): The relationship between male BMI and waist circumference on semen quality: data from the LIFE study. Hum Reprod 29(2):193–200.
- 16. Jensen TK, Andersson AM, Jorgensen N, Andersen AG and Carlsen E (2004):.Body mass index in relation to semen quality and reproductive hormones among 1,558 Danish men. Fertil Steril; 82: 863–870.
- 17. Paasch U, Grunewald S, Kratzsch J and Glander HJ (2010): Obesity and age affect male fertility potential. Fertil Steril; 94: 2898–2901.
- Duits F H, Van Wely M, Van der Veen F and Gianotten J. (2010): Healthy overweight male partners of subfertile couples should not worry about their semen quality. Fertil Steril., 94:1356–1359.
- 19. MacDonald AA, Herbison GP, Showell M and Farquhar CM. (2010): The impact of body mass index on semen parameters and reproductive hormones in human males: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Hum Reprod Update; vol.16: 293–311.
- 20. Sermondade N, Faure C, Fezeu L,Boubaya M and Cedrin-Duknein I .(2013): BMI in relation to sperm count: an updated systematic review and collaborative meta-analysis. Hum Reprod Update; 19:221-231.
- Verbraecken J, Van de Heyning P, De Backer W and Van Gaal L. (2006): Body surface area in normal-weight and obese adults. A comparison studies. Metabolism. 55(4):515-524.
- 22. World Health Organization (1999): WHO laboratory manual for the examination of human semen and sperm-cervical mucus interaction. 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 23. Hoshi K, Katayose H, Yanagida K, Kimara Y and Sato A. (1996): The relationship between acridine orange fluorescence of sperm nuclei and the fertilizing ability of human sperm. Fertil Steril; 66: 634-638.
- 24. Alberti K, Eckel R, Grundy S, Zimmet PZ, Cleeman JI, Donato KA and et al., (2009):Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: joint interim statement of the international Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung ,and Blood Institute ;American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and International Association for the study of Obesity.Circulation,120:1640-1645.

**Citation:** Tawfik WM and Elhelaly M (2019) Impact of Obesity on Semen Parameters and Sperm DNA Integrity in Infertile Males. Arch Women Heal Gyn: 116.

- 25. Du Plessis SS., Cabler S., McAlister. DA, Sabanegh E and Agrawal. (2010): The effect of obesity on sperm disorders and male infertility. Nat Rev Urol; 7:153–161.
- 26. Hammoud AO, Wilde N, Gibson M, Park A, Carrell DT and Meikle AW. (2008): Male obesity and alteration in sperm parameters. Fertil Steril; 90: 2222–2225.
- 27. Dupont C, Faure C, Sermondade N, Boubaya M and Eustache F. (2013): Obesity leads to higher risk of sperm DNA damage in infertile patients. Asian J Androl; 15: 622–625.
- 28. Merhi ZO, Keltz J, Zapantis A,Younger J and Berger D. (2013): Male adiposity impairs clinical pregnancy rate by in vitro fertilization without affecting day 3 embryo quality. Obesity (Silver Spring); 21: 1608–1612.
- 29. Hadjkacem Loukil L, Hadjkacem H, Bahloul A and Ayadi H. (2015): Relation between male obesity and male infertility in a Tunisian population. Andrologia; 47: 282–285.

- Fejes I, Koloszar S, Szollosi J, Zavaczki Z and Pal A. (2005): Is semen quality affected by male body fat distribution? Andrologia; 37: 155–159.
- 31. Hakonsen LB, Thu improve semen quality and reproductive hormones? Res.
- Hakonsen LB, Spano M, Bonde JP,Oslen J,Thulstrup AM,Ernst E and Ramlau-Hansen CH. (2012): Exposures that may affect sperm DNA integrity: two decades of follow-up in a pregnancy cohort. Reprod Toxicol; 33:316 – 321.
- Tremellen K. (2008): Oxidative stress and male infertility – A clinical perspective. Hum Reprod Update; 14: 243–258.
- 34. Aitken RJ and Baker MA. (2013): Causes and consequences of apoptosis in spermatozoa; contributions to infertility and impacts on development. Int J Dev Biol; 57: 265–272.

**Copyright:** © 2019 Tawfik WM. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.